SYNOPSIS

ON

A Critical Study of the Contribution of Noam Chomsky with regard to his Theory of Knowledge, Logic and Language Learning.

IIMT UNIVERSITY MEERUT, UP

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018 - 19

FOR THE AWARD OF Ph. D DEGREE

IN

EDUCATION

SUBMITTED BY RAJKUMARI BOSE

REGISTRATION NO IIMYU/A- 4203

UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF

DR ARUN KUMAR

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

RESEARCH CENTRE

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

IIMT UNIVERSITY MEERUT, UP

HEADING
INTRODUCTION
STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM
CONCEPTUAL DESCRIPTION
THEORY OF KNOWLEDGE, LOGIC AND LANGUAGE LEARNING
OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY
ASSUMPTIONS OF THE STUDY
SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY
LITERATURE REVIEW
WESTERN REVIEW
INDIAN REVIEW
CONCLUSION
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

INTRODUCTION

Education is a key driver of the advancement of human rights and is seen as one of the most effective tools for eradicating poverty and advancing health, gender equality, peace, and stability. A significant, consistent return on deliverance is discovered in relation to income and prospects for equality (The World Bank, 2022). Noam Chomsky asserts that education should be used to teach people how to learn on their own. According to his opinion, it is the individual who will succeed, and it is completely up to the individual what must be mastered and how one would utilise it to do so. He continued by saying that kids aren't taught how to deal with obstacles.

Nonetheless, the heart-pounding global education claims that the quality of education was reflected by the 1.5 million pupils who were not in school in 2020. According to the worldwide accord, pupils did not receive the necessary education to develop the skills needed for success (www.brookings.edu). Approximately 90% of children in low-income countries, 50% of children in middle-income countries, and 30% of children in high-income countries fail to master the basic secondary skills necessary for success in life and the workplace, according to a report by "The International Commission on Financing Global Education Opportunity" published before the epidemic. One of the foremost researchers and the father of linguistics in the field of education is the subject of this study in this context. To comprehend the situation from Noam Chomsky's perspective. Avram Noam Chomsky, a historical linguist from America, was Noam Chomsky's actual name when he was born on December 7, 1928, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

His research from the 1950s to the existing has achieved some impressive design which remarkably modified linguists, the possibility of language as being quirky for mankind, a naturally based psychological limit according to him. Belonging to a working-class Jewish family Noam Chomsky went to an exploratory grade school, where it vigorously advanced that built up his advantages, affinities & abilities by the method of self-learning. Active discussion of American foreign policy and domestic policies were dominant, political contribution in 1960s of Noam Chomsky. The propagation of impose obedience and system of control and coercion are used by schools. Critically he further elaborates that a child who has received social education "is one who has been conditioned to comply and structure."

What were his attitude in those areas of education to which he directly contributes, other areas where he turned his attention, and how he attempted to influence them indirectly through his writings? Are the several areas which will be elicited under this study? However, the focused study primly made emphasis on the education theory among several theories by Noam Chomsky.

Description of the Issue

The second half of twentieth century recognized in linguistic on the name of most influential person called “Noam Chomsky”. Being a competent specialist in the area of modern linguistic his name is also affiliated as a professional in modern psychology of language. His constant contribution on linguistic research with sustained role in political engagement and reproduction with anarchist socialist perspective are some of the additions of his inscription on American and global issues.

The contribution in his thesis on linguistics visibly portrait here action towards empiricist approach is central point in linguistic in 1950. Even the behaviourist stimulus response, approach contradicted by Chomsky where he said that by perceiving such accounts of language learning cannot happen, theoretically infinite number of utterances that the user will generate and encounter with the purpose to make able and understand sentences which they never before encounter will contribute in learning. He is not only formulating in natism to a collective and exceeded formalize conception of reasoning.

Despite his contributions, he is frequently referred to as a conspiracy theorist. He is an American linguist, cognitive scientist, historian, social critic, and expert in philosophy. He is also known as the founder of contemporary linguistics. He stands out from other linguistic theorists due to his ground-breaking and innovative theory that language is an innate mental capacity. Yet, based on these presumptions, this study elaborates on understanding Professor Noam Chomsky's linguistics and cognitive ideas in the context of the present.

Conceptual Description

Concepts act as the conceptual building elements for every hypothesis. It is essential to cognitive processes like categorization, inference, memory, recall, knowledge, learning, and decision-making. There has been much discussion surrounding the ideas, which are inherently uncontroversial but constrain that simple theory of concepts or the word concepts (Margolis and Laurence, 1999, Margolis and Laurence 2015). The reality that disagreements over concepts seem to recur frequently in contrast to the methods used to investigate the human mind, language, and philosophy itself. This study offers the broad principles of theories in knowledge, logic, and language learning in this regard.

Theory of Knowledge, Logic and Language

An expansion of philosophical inquiry that addresses the nature, circumstances, and principles of knowledge as measured by the theory of knowledge, with that theory being founded on the consistency or propositional function of general knowledge. Despite the Theory of Knowledge is one of the more contentious areas of philosophy, there is congruence among the major philosophical traditions regarding the scope of the problem as well as the precise formulation of the issue.

The second option is educational philosophy, which involves a theory of knowing based on components that are greater than oneself because of the foundation of existence and meaning and because there is a historical propensity for this alternative to produce scepticism. On a collection of theories of understanding This study examines fundamental and significant conceptions of knowledge.

The Logical Framework

Noam Chomsky, the founder of modern linguistics, made a significant contribution to linguistic theory with the publication of his book "Transformation Analysis" in 1955 and his Doctorate thesis on the same topic in 1975. The kind of explanations one should provide are closely related to how a problem is conceptualised. On the basis of a somewhat limited database, Chomsky suggests that we associate linguistic explanation with a solution to the

issue of how children may grasp their home languages. The logical challenge of language learning is what this is frequently referred to as (Hornstein, Norbert, 1998)

Theory of Language Learning

The research and analysis Chomsky provided at the time and over the following period of time were in-depth enough to be taken into account as the foundation of Objectivity and Liberal Scholarship, which was examined as a work by Noam Chomsky by individual history student Gabriel Jackson.

Chomsky backed up the claim and continued the so-called intellectual change through his dedication to linguistics and other areas like psychological brain study. Chomsky's reputation as a political dissident was attributed to his studies of the detrimental effects that financial elites have on domestic policy, global strategy, and the breadth of society.

American linguist whose research has improved how we currently cope with the analysis of language. Despite studying linguistics, he has a strong background in political activism and thought. He has written extensively on American and global issues from an anarcho-communist perspective, focusing in particular on the harsh notion of industrialist governments and organisations.

Although Chomsky keeps to the scientific thinking of syntax generating language (similar to numerical circumstances developing infinite arrangements of qualities), he goes beyond Harris' structuralism by, for saking an inventive concern of various natural languages, each of an unmistakable sentence form, to focus more upon central punctuation that is common to all languages (Chomsky1964b). This center sentence for missing the essence of language; skill in the center punctuation makes the language chance conditions, and it is this center grammar that is inborn to the human intellect. Men, from the Chomskyan point of view, come to acquire language pre-customized, figuratively speaking — they certainly know how language operates in any situation. It should be noted that Chomsky doesn't allow qualified language clients to be consciously conscious of the ill health, thereby permitting the general argument that anything that contributes to human capacity is in anyway ignorant.
This is this grammatical rightness that intrigues Chomsky. His discovery system (a supposed' generative sentence structure') can be represented as far as four levels. To begin with, the

linguist defines the 'transformational sentence structure' of a given language (where transformational syntax epitomizes the most modest amount of basic rules that a complete local speaker will need to generate all and only the grammatical articulations of that language). Preceding Chomsky's (1957) Syntactic Constructs, linguistics had centered distinctly on what he called 'restricted state' language structure (overseeing the decisions that are made within a sentence as the expressed continue) and 'word structure' syntax (that represented the partition of various implications in a speech). Second, those expectations that may have not been trained are differentiated within the transformational syntax. Fourth, this permits the creation of a Universal Grammar (or Language Acquisition Device), or, in other terms, the linguistic ability that is provided in the human mind. The Universal Grammar model will eventually be used against certain natural languages. . Even if both are accepted, the conflict between a broader and a narrower notion of logic persists. All truths based only on meanings, according to the broadest understanding, belong to logic.

Objectives of the Study

1. A study of contribution of Noam Chomsky with regard to theory of knowledge.
2. A study of contribution of Noam Chomsky with regard to logic
3. A study of contribution of Noam Chomsky with regard to language learning.
4. To study the revolutionary attempt of Chomsky and linguistics started from the concept of Transformational Generative Grammar (TGG)
5. Study of language is far more relevant than logic to the philosophy of mind and also holds that the so-called philosophy of language also contributes to linguistics.
6. To study the Formal Language Theory: Refining the Chomsky Hierarchy

Assumptions of the Study

1. Chomsky’s Theory of knowledge may contribute to the philosophy of logic.
2. Language learning concept may be assumed to be related to theory of knowledge.
3. Logic and Language learning may provide impetuous for structure.

Significance of the Study

Chomskyan insight into language, grammar, and the psyche is the focus of this argument. Chomsky views language as a reflection of the human mind, as we've seen throughout our discussion. We believe, Chomsky establishes a name for himself not just by establishing a dynamic and scientific explanation of language, but also by elevating the philosophy of language to an enplane of existence. There's no denying that in the first part of the century, philosophy had morphed into linguistic philosophy, with the focus on semantics. In his book “Language and Mind”, Noam Chomsky endorsed this idea. Language is composed in the sense that the conscious mind constructs and comprehends it with minimum effort. It is composed regulation and super vision of the whole communication; phases are also carried out by this system. Using context-free and standard languages as examples, this study summarizes Chomsky's four categorization phases. The preceding section examines two improvements to Chomsky's hierarchy that are import antto current.

CHAPTER-2

LITERATURE REVIEW

Review of some Indian and Western authors

Lele, Jayant K. Rajendra Singh and others (2000) To examine Chomsky's claims that his linguistics, which he views as the best perspective on what he considers "Plato's Problem," requires "science," that his legislative problem is a matter of "Individual Perceptions and Goals," and that his analysis of the structure of influence, which as he indicates, contains what he refers to as "Orwell's Problem," are the explanations for this paper. Because Orwell's problem is not trivial and because he provides evidence that Orwell's problem can and should be treated similarly, we are happy that the polarity between Plato's problem and Orwell's problem from one point of view and between Plato's problem and legislative concerns from the other is not as clear as he would expect.

Didi Sudrajatetal. (2017) this paper is a psycho linguistic an analysis on language development and learning that illustrates how young people get languages. The emphasis of the discussion throughout the article is the process by which a young person is capable of creating and acquiring information. There is a variety of language acquisition hypotheses that have been developed, but the bulk of these theories cannot rely on the role that both purpose and help doing language acquisition. However, the theories do make them the same thing, and that's how they all accept that language acquisition is the key point of view that recognizes people from different living beings and by seeing how different parts of the language are acquired, we are all the more likely to understand the primary vehicle we are passing on. Moreover, this paper also portrays the development of the learning of Childen's language, including pre-linguistic era, jabbering, single-word words, two-word articulations, transmitted debate, pre-school language and simple time.

Judit Gervain1 and **Jacques Mehler** et al. (2010) new born children transfer essential language development mile stones during the key year of existence. In the fields of discourse comprehension, phonological turn of events, term understanding, Morph syntactic development, and bilingualism, we review a portion of the exploratory evidence regarding such accomplishments, underlining the linkages. In the sense of the aerobiological underpinnings, we speak about them, introducing the new developments in language development, but even in adjacent regions, for example, inherited attributes and the close analysis of structures for species communication. They contend for a language acquisition theory that combines historical, neurological, cognitive and developmental contemplations and proposes linking theoretical frameworks, such as empirical instruction, rule-based nativist history, and visual learning hypotheses, together in advance.

Elissa L. Newport et al. (2011) Chomsky (in this issue) and Gallistel (in this issue) are concerned with human language and entity spatial vision, claiming that each of these abilities is embodied by a particular psychological framework of it so ne-size-fits-all relationship criteria, distinguishable from various sections of intuition. Within this debate, I draw a differentiating non-particular (or semi-measured) viewpoint on human language and propose that such a choice is compatible with Chomsky's and Gallistel's assertions and is equally

feasible considering our current intelligence situation; and I prescribe a few headings for potential research that are likely to decide which of the alternatives gives a superior.

Kevin C. Costley and others (2013) Being conscious or having knowledge is the process of cognition. The formation of mental processes including perception, intuition, and reasoning is studied by cognitive development theory (dictionary.com, 2012). Although the first essential cognitive theory was developed by French philosopher René Descartes in the seventeenth century, the discipline has advanced significantly since the middle of the twentieth century. Since Noam Chomsky, a linguist, criticised cognitive empiricism and operant conditioning in 1959, the field has gained more attention as scientists and researchers have realised that only by using a more comprehensive approach to psychological studies will they be able to comprehend the development capabilities of the mind's mind. Language learning and processing do not happen by luck. Humans, unlike other mammals, have the Language Acquisition Device (LAD). Although many animals can communicate, only humans have the ability to properly verbalise their wants. Humans differ from other animals in that they are capable of extremely sophisticated cognitive processes such as comprehension and language use. By the time the average high school graduate graduates, our brains are learning 45,000 new words at a dizzying pace (Radford, 2004). The study of how people think, remember, make things, and communicate is known as cognitive psychology. Since the cognitive development hypothesis, there has been constant new development as a result of the collection of new knowledge and its contribution to the already existing body of knowledge. This research is furthered by the development of psycholinguistics by linguist Noam Chomsky. The ideas of Chomsky have developed the benchmark for how language acquisition and development are viewed.

Language learning is one of the most dynamic skills gained by human beings. It was a burning problem that caused friction among scholars from different professional fields. Despite decades of multiple different hypotheses which were meant to shed light on the truth of how the human species learn language acquisition, researchers are still struggling to understand the main factors surrounding language acquisition. The purpose of this essay is to discuss what children need to learn language based on Noam Chomsky's language acquisition theory. I will cover the linguistic areas of brain production and how they affect language acquisition. I'm going to look even at speech organs and their arrangement. Since the 1950s Nativism has

become one of the most effective philosophies. The LAD and Universal Grammar are the major concepts that overall support the theory of Noam Chomsky. I'll cover the Universal Grammars and Linguistic Acquisition Device and how they help Noam Chomsky's language acquisition philosophy. This report focuses on five arguments to support the biological language acquisition theory by Noam Chomsky. The foregoing arguments support the language acquisition hypothesis of Noam Chomsky, Stimulus Depression, and uniformity, Hypothesis of the Critical Period, Species Significance, and Phonological Impairment. The findings show that these five points are important in the learning of languages. We often suggest that language is simply limited to the specific human ability to communicate across the world. Some discuss this intriguing question from different tangles and argue with whether acquiring language is natural or acquired. This topic is one of the most enticing issues for any linguistic attempt to address.

Hulin Ren and **Na Xuetal** are (2014) The Chomsky-proposed Universal Grammar (UG) (1986) has gained enormous acceptance in the field of linguistics. The first part of the text outlines the conceptual framework and content range of UG and second language acquisition (SLA). From that point on, it makes reference to the three SLA of the UG hypotheses, which focus on how adult language students meet the requirements and restrictions of UG in creating the (L2) sentence structure of a particular phrase. The report also addresses UG's worries over the adoption of SLA and lavishly analyses the present difficulty UG is facing in the SLA market.

Nigeletal is alive (2012) According to Noam Chomsky's theory on language acquisition, it is important to contribute to the analysis of language psychobiology institutions and it is likely that the process by which the infant learns how to develop oblivious grammatical awareness, regardless of the deficiency of the data to which it is exposed, needs to be clarified. In this situation, why are languages thought of in such terms, and what are the ways to retain local speakers? The record of language learning on such a basis not only contains numerous permissible "admirations" of the circumstances in which the child finds himself, but it also stipulates the stipulative interpretation of the word language to make it unlikely that languages, if defined in this way, would be understood as anything other than a set of communicational skills. It also specifies the stipulative interpretation of the word language to make it seem unlikely that languages, if defined in this way, have any relationship at all with

what we say by 'languages' as we demonstrate an intriguing topic. Chomsky's incorporation of languages (or punctuations) into the strategic frameworks of scientific logic is close to casting a particularly bright light on the old conception of languages as fixed codes of correspondence between structures and implications, of which shared knowledge enables speed, as is often overlooked in the persistent demand that it be "syntaxes" rather than "languages" that are concerned with Chomsky. In either case, this idea is strikingly apparent in culture, with fixed requirements on grammarians that are primarily Western heritage, and is intended to be absent in these domains as avenues for contesting the language underpinnings of all existence.

Liu Ming et al. Chomsky's linguistic theory also altered the lengthy, traditional way of language study. As a branch of natural science, Chomsky seeks to construct a theory of linguistics that is experimentally grounded. According to him, the line between the scientific and social sciences would blur or dissolve as a result of what he calls 'Abstract-linguistics' (10). Brain sciences are looking for processes in the brain that are actual realizations of these systems, whereas mental theory seeks to define features of the beginning state So and each achievable level SL of the language faculty. There is a "structure notion" in the speaker's head that leads the learner in acquiring his or her natural language. According to him, to learn a natural language, you must have a specific I-language. The natural science of language is not consistent with Chomsky's notion of inborn concepts as a fixed type (common grammar theory), which is similar to the rationalist philosophy of ideas. To examine the connection between language and the world, Chomsky expanded his theory to include truth and comparison, which is in line with the nature of knowledge, which is generally tied to human experience. Although he's a rationalist, his theory of "innate thoughts" has been challenged by certain empiricists who define themselves as rational lists. In our perspective, certain empiricists are misguided. In conclusion, his view on linguistics as an investigation of the mind and brain is a crucial part of his philosophy, which his opponents have neglected to acknowledge. This might assist to clarify some of them is understandings about his idea

Jelena Basta et al state Applying CL to the field of language learning is important for fostering cooperation as it generates an environment in which students can support each other, debate and disagree with each other, evaluate existing awareness so fetch other and fill in the holes of comprehension of each other. David Newby et al. (2014) The 1965 introduction of

Chomsky's Aspects of Syntax Theory culminated in a profound shift about the goals of linguistic research. The change in attention –at least in principle– from language systems to individuals who use language has prompted the study to concentrate on the essence of the linguistic ability of speakers that has driven the generation of utterances. Over the past forty years, both theoretical and practical, the essence of linguistic competence has been at the core of discussions among linguists. Definitions of expertise in both linguistics and language instruction have shown a steady development from that first suggested by Chomsky. Three general theories can be considered underlying existing definitions of the word. The first is the universal consensus that language is fundamentally a cognitive process, and that the usage of a language's linguistic code (performance) is driven by implicit rule-based information retained in speakers' minds (competence). This perspective encompasses both a hierarchical (language specific) Chomskyan view of competency and certain ideas that can be grouped under the heading 'CognitiveLinguistics1;' which typically consider language and cognition as an inter-connected whole sharing common interpretation and categorization systems. This paper explores the various ways that linguists have described both skill and efficiency, and discusses how specific definitions of such terms have affected the learning and teaching of foreign languages. The position of two Council of Europe documents, the Common European System of Reference and the European Student Teachers of Languages Portfolio are given particular consideration.

Naoki ARAKI et al. (2016) it was believed that vocabulary was the topic of linguistics. Yet Noam Chomsky maintains vocabulary is a concept that linguistic research is useless. He believes that grammar should be about linguistics. Here names language and grammar, language externalized (E-language), and language internalized (I-language), respectively. As the theories of Saussure and Chomsky, a symphony is not a musical score, but real production itself, even as chess is not a game law but movements of chess pieces. 9 Chomsky's theory is very close in this way to Saussure's. This is fair to assume that the opinions on the language of the two linguists are almost the same though they have a few variations. E-language (language) is, according to Chomsky, the theoretical externalized from the internal structure of our consciousness, and the functional framework of our brain is the I-language (grammar). If we have Universal Grammar (UG) from birth and develop I-language as a result of UG parameters being set in one for more than another and I-language producing E-language, then I-language would be the subject of linguistic study. But, if grammar (I-language) is constantly

taken from language (E-language) and all that is spoken or written among us is language (E-language), then the situation will be completely different. In other words, the language we hear or read around us comes from linguistic research.

Paul Ibbotson et. al. (2016). However, in recent years, cognitive scientists and linguists have challenged Chomsky's theory of "universal grammar" because of research on many languages and how young children often understand and speak the languages of their communities. The research refutes Chomsky's assertions. The work demonstrates a fundamentally different viewpoint that does not emphasise an innate grammar module to teach a newborn their first language. The most recent study instead demonstrates that early toddlers use a variety of thinking processes that may not even be language-specific, like the capacity to categorise the environment (for instance, people or objects) and comprehend the links between things. These abilities make it possible for language to occur, along with a unique human ability to comprehend what others are trying to say. The new findings show that academics should look for assistance outside of Chomsky's theory if they want to comprehend how children and others learn languages. This conclusion is important because language research is important in many fields, including linguistics and artificial intelligence, and because poor methods can lead to questionable results.

Furthermore, human language is used in forms that no object can match; once you recognize what language it is, you understand human nature a little more. The first edition of Chomsky's philosophy, brought forward in the mid-20th century, coincided with two new developments in academic existence in the West. Next, he suggested that in daily life the languages people use to interact acted like mathematically dependent languages of the recently developed computer science area. His research looked for the language's underlying computational structure and proposed a set of procedures to create "well-formed" sentences. The ground breaking concept was that a computer like system would generate sentences that were considered by real humans to be grammatical. The software, too, may ostensibly describe how people for med their sentences. This way of speaking about language resonated with other academics who were willing to accept a scientific solution to anything, indeed. Proposing at the same time that they be grounded in human genetics. This was very apparent in the second half of the 20th century that our particular developmental past was responsible for certain facets of our particular human nature and so the hypothesis resonated at this point

as well. His universal grammar was brought forth as an intrinsic part of the human intellect — and vowed to expose the fundamental biological underpinnings of the 6,000 +human languages of the planet. The strongest ideas of research, not to mention the most stunning, expose latent harmony under surface complexity, and so this hypothesis holds instant appeal.

CHAPTER – 3

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Importance of Research Methodology

This chapter will offer a methodology to do this Research. Selecting a fully appropriate and sound method for this research will offer the road to success. A methodology will provide with instructions for managing the research smoothly and effectively. More specifically, research techniques enable us to solve a problem. The study of research techniques provide straining to address a problem.

The research methodology study offers us the required training in the choice of methods, materials, scientific instruments, and technical training appropriate to the problem selected. The research philosophy is connected with assumption, knowledge and nature of the study which describe the method of developing knowledge specifically. However precisely deals with source, nature and development of knowledge, in word of one syllable it is an acceptance by which the singularity about data to be composed, analysed and cast off (Bajpai, 2011). Chiefly when it comes to descriptive philosophical research which comprise of history of philosophy, study of different philosophers and growth of philosophical thought in general. So, the focus to this definition to discover more considering this method on the subject of this study. Similarly historical research methods contain information collection from principal and subordinate sources. This method is also called Documentary Research with high use to make historical credentials and chronicles. Since research is an academic activity, the term

should be used in its technical definition. The problem is defined and redefined, hypotheses or suggested solutions are developed, data are gathered, organised, and assessed, deductions are made, and conclusions are obtained, in accordance with Clifford Woody's study. The conclusions are carefully examined to determine whether they support the hypothesis. Research is described as "the manipulation of objects, ideas or symbols to generalise information to be extended, rectified or confirmed, whether that knowledge helps to create theory or to perform the arts" in the Encyclopedia of Social Sciences by D. Steiner and Stephens.

In this research, we will study Noam Chomsky, inclined to claim that linguistic analysis would aim to build a theory that will compensate for a natural language's endless number of sentences. It will show which word sequences are sentences and which aren't, as well as a synopsis of each sentence's grammatical structure. A systematic descriptive theory of a natural language should contain a set of grammatical rules that could construct the language's unlimited number of phrases, make a phrase that was not a phrase, and include a summary for the grammar form of a phrase's summary form. Chomsky, on the otherhand, sees Generative Transformational grammar as a part of mentalism as an integratedwhole. Chomsky's theory of generative grammar is closely related to what he referred toas the aesthetic component of expression. Chomsky hierarchy, with particular emphasis on non-contextual and standard languages.

REFERENCES

- ✓ Akmajian, A., Demers, R., Farmer, A., &Harnish, R., 2010. Linguistics: An Introduction to Language and Communication (6thedition), Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.

- ✓ Baghramian, Maria, 1998, Modern Philosophy of Language, Washington: Counterpoint.

- ✓ All wright, R. 1977. 'Language learning through communication practice.' ELT Documents 77/1.

- ✓ Armstrong, D.M. (1971) Meaning and Communication, Philosophical Review, Vol. 80, pp. 427-47.

- ✓ Aronoff, Mark H. Word-Structure, Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press.

- ✓ Asher, J.T. and B.S. Price. 1967. 'The learning strategy of the total physical response: some age differences.' Child Development 38:1219-27.

- ✓ Atherton, Margaret, (1974) and Robert Schwartz. Linguistic Innateness and Its Evidence, Journal of Philosophy, Vol.71, pp. 155-68.

- ✓ Baker, C. L. 1979. 'Syntactic theory and the projection problem. 'Linguistic Inquiry 10/4:533-81.

- ✓ Baker C. L. and J. J. Mc Carthy (eds.). 1981. The Logical Problem of Language Acquisition. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

- ✓ Baker C. Leroy. (1970) Notes on the Description of English Questions: The Role of an Abstract Question Morphem, Foundation of Language, Vol.6-, pp.197-209.

- ✓ Baldwin T. R. (1993) two types of naturalism, Proceedings of the British Academy Vol. 80, pp.171-99.

- ✓ Bergling, Kurt. (1974) the development of Hypothetic deductive Thinking in Children, lEA Monograph studies Vol.3. Stockholm, Almquist & Wiksell International.

- ✓ Bezuidenhout, AnneL, 2006, “Language as Internal”, in Lepore and Smith (eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Language, Oxford: Clarendon Press.

- ✓ Bilgrami, Akcel. (1993) Discussion, In Noam Chomsky etal. Language and Thought, London: Moyer Bell. pp. 57-68.

- ✓ Bloomfield, L. (1993) Language, New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, l933. London: Allen & Unwin, J935.

- ✓ Boeree, D.C. (2006). Personality Theories: B. F. Skinner. Retrieved from web space. Ship. Edu.

- ✓ Bower T. G. R. (1972) Object perception in Infants, Perception, vol.1, and pp.15-30.

- ✓ Bracken, Harry M. (1972) Chomsky's Cartesianism, Language Sciences, October, pp.ll-18.

- ✓ Braine, M. D. S. 1971. 'On two types of models of the internalization of grammars' in D. Slobin (ed.). The Ontogenesis of Grammar. New York: Academic Press.

- ✓ Bresnan, D. and R. Kaplan. 1982. 'Introduction: grammars as representations of language' in D. Bresnan (ed.). The Mental Representations of Grammatical Relations. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

- ✓ Brown R and C. Hanlon. 1970. 'Derivational complexity and the order of acquisition in child speech' in J. R. Hayes(ed.). Cognition and the Development of Language. New York: John Wiley.

- ✓ Burt, M. K. and H. C. Dulay. 1980. 'On acquisition orders' in S. Felix (ed.) .Second Language Development: Trends and Issues.Tubingen: GunterNarr.

- ✓ Carroll, J. B. 1965. 'The contributions of psychological theory and educational research to the teaching of foreign languages. 'Modern Language Journal 49/5.

- ✓ Charles Yang[a] Stephen Crain[b] Robert C. Berwick et al. 2017 “The growth of language: Universal Grammar, experience, and principles of computation”, Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews Volume 81, Part B, October 2017, Pages103-11
- ✓ Chomsky, N.1965.Aspects of theTheory of Syntax. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- ✓ Chomsky, N. 1968. 'Noam Chomsky and Stuart Hampshire discuss the study of language.'The Listener79/2044: 687-91.
- ✓ Chomsky N.1969. 'Linguistics and philosophy' in S Hook (ed.). Language and Philosophy. New York: New York University Press.
- ✓ Chomsky N. 1976. Reflections on Language. London: Temple Smith.
- ✓ Chomsky Noam, 1957/ 2002, Syntactic Structures, Berlin: Moutonde Gruyter.
- ✓ Chomsky Noam, 1969, “Linguistics and Philosophy”, in Language and Philosophy: A
- ✓ Symposium, Sidney Hook, (ed.), New York: New York University Press, 51–94.
- ✓ Chomsky Noam, 1976, Reflectionson Language, London: Temple Smith.
- ✓ Chomsky Noam, 1977, Language and Responsibility, New York: Pantheon
- ✓ Chomsky Noam 2000, The Architecture of Language, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- ✓ Chomsky Noam, 2002, On Nature and Language, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- ✓ Chomsky Noam, 2012, The Science of Language, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- ✓ Cook V. J. 1977. 'Cognitive processes in second language learning.' International Review of Applied Linguistics' XV/1:1-20.

- ✓ Cook, V. J. 1981. 'Some uses for second language learning research' in H. Winitz (ed.). Native Language and Foreign Language Acquisition. Annals of the NewYork Academy of Sciences 379:251-8.

- ✓ D'Agostino, Fred, 1986, Chomsky's System of Ideas, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- ✓ Lyons, John, 1991, Chomsky, London: Fontana Press. Maher, John & Groves, Judy, 1998, Introducing Chomsky, New York: Totem Books.

- ✓ Matthew Whelpton "The Innateness Hypothesis Can Knowledge of Language be Inborn?", Haskholiislands 2015

- ✓ Newmark, L. andD. A. Reibel. 1969.'Necessityand sufficiency in language learning. 'International Review of Applied Linguistics VI/3.

- ✓ Schmidt, M. 1980. 'Coordinate structure and language universals in inter language. 'Language Learning 30/2:397-416.